arXiv:1502.04197v1 [math.AP] 14 Feb 2015

LONG TIME DECAY OF 3D-NSE IN LEI-LIN-GEVREY SPACES

JAMEL BENAMEUR AND LOTFI JLALI

Abstract. In this paper, we prove that there exists a unique global solution of $3D$ Navier-Stokes equation if $\exp(a|D|^{1/\sigma})u^0 \in \mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\|u^0\|_{\mathcal{X}^{-1}} < \nu$. Moreover, we will show that $\|\exp(a|D|^{1/\sigma})u(t)\|_{\mathcal{X}^{-1}}$ goes to zero if the time t goes to infinity.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Notations and preliminary results 3
2.1. Notations 3
2.2. Preliminary results 3
3. Well-posedness of (NSE) in $Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ 6
4. Global solution 12
5. Long time decay for the global solution 14
References 14

1. Introduction

The $3D$ incompressible Navier-Stokes equations are given by:

$$(NSE)\qquad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \nu\Delta u + u.\nabla u &= \quad -\nabla p \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^3 \\ \operatorname{div} u &= \; 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^3 \\ u(0,x) &= \; u^0(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

where $\nu > 0$ is the viscosity of fluid, $u = u(t,x) = (u_1,u_2,u_3)$ and $p = p(t,x)$ denote respectively the unknown velocity and the unknown pressure of the fluid at the point $(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^+\times\mathbb{R}^3$, and $(u.\nabla u) := u_1\partial_1 u + u_2\partial_2 u + u_3\partial_3 u$, while $u^0 = (u_1^o(x), u_2^o(x), u_3^o(x))$ is an initial given velocity. If u^0 is quite regular, the divergence free condition determines the pressure p.

The study of local existence is studied by serval researchers, Leray [12, 13], Kato [8],etc. The global existence of weak solutions goes back to Leray [13] and Hopf [7]. The global well-posedness of strong solutions for small initial data in the critical Sobolev space $\dot{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is due to Fujita and Kato [5], also in [3], Chemin has proved the case of $\dot{H}^s$, $s > \frac{1}{2}$. In [9], Kato has proved the case of Lebesgue space L^3. In [10], Koch and Tataru have proved the case of the space $\mathbf{BMO^{-1}}$ (see, also [2, 4, 14]). It should be noted, in all these works, that the norms in corresponding spaces of the initial data are assumed to be very small, smaller than the viscosity ν multiplied by tiny positive constant c. For further results and

---

Date: February 17, 2015.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35-xx, 35Bxx, 35Lxx.
Key words and phrases. Navier-Stokes Equations; Critical spaces; Long time decay.

details the reader can consult the book by Cannone [1]. In [11], the authors consider a new critical space that is contained in $\mathbf{BMO^{-1}}$, where they show it is sufficient to assumed the norms of initial data are less than exactly the viscosity coefficient ν. Then, the used space in [11] is the following

$$\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)=\{f\in\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3);\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|\widehat{u}(\xi)|}{|\xi|}d\xi<\infty\}$$

which is equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{|\widehat{u}(\xi)|}{|\xi|}d\xi.$$

We will also use the notation, for $i=0,1$,

$$\mathcal{X}^{i}(\mathbb{R}^3)=\{f\in\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3);\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\xi|^i|\widehat{u}(\xi)|d\xi<\infty\}.$$

For the small initial data, the global existence is proved in [11]:

Theorem 1.1. *(See [11]). Let $u^0\in\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, such that $\|u^0\|_{\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)}<\nu$. Then, there is a unique $u\in\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+,\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ such that $\Delta u\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+,\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. Moreover, $\forall t\geq 0$*

$$\sup_{0\leq t<\infty}\left(\|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{X}^{-1}}+(\nu-\|u^0\|_{\mathcal{X}^{-1}})\int_0^t\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}d\tau\right)\leq\|u^0\|_{\mathcal{X}^{-1}}.$$

Moreover, in [16] the authors proved the local existence for the initial data and blow-up criteria if the maximal time is finite, precisely:

Theorem 1.2. *(See [16]). Let $u^0\in\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. There exists time T such that the system (NSE) has unique solution $u\in L^2([0,T],\mathcal{X}^0(\mathbb{R}^3))$ wish also belong to*

$$\mathcal{C}([0,T],\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap L^1([0,T],\mathcal{X}^1(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap L^\infty([0,T],\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$$

Let T^* denote the maximal time of existence of such solution. Hence
If $\|u\|_{\mathcal{X}^{-1}}<\nu$, then

$$T^*=\infty$$

If T^* is finite, then

$$\int_0^{T^*}\|u(t)\|^2_{\mathcal{X}^0}=\infty.$$

Also, the long time decay for the global solution was studied in [6], precisely:

Theorem 1.3. *(See [6]) Let $u\in\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+,\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ be a global solution of (NSE), then*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty}\|u(t)\|_{\mathcal{X}^{-1}}=0.$$

To prepare for announce our main results, we need to introduce the Lei-Lin-Gevrey spaces: For $a>0$, $\sigma>1$ and $\rho\in\mathbb{R}$, the following spaces are defined

$$Z^\rho_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)=\{f\in\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3);\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\xi|^\rho e^{a|\xi|^{1/\sigma}}|\widehat{f}(\xi)|d\xi<\infty\}$$

which is equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{Z^\rho_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\xi|^\rho e^{a|\xi|^{1/\sigma}}|\widehat{f}(\xi)|d\xi.$$

Our first result is the following:

Theorem 1.4. *Let $u^0 \in Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, such that $\|u\|_{\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \nu$. Then, there exists a unique global solution $u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+, Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^+, Z^{1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ of (NSE).*

Our second result is as follows:

Theorem 1.5. *Let $u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+, Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ be the global solution of (NSE). Then*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \|u(t)\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} = 0.$$

The paper is organized in the following way: In section 2, we give some notations and important preliminary results. Section 3 is devoted to prove that (NSE) is well posed in $Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. In section 4, we prove the existence under the condition $\|u\|_{\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \nu$. Finally, in the section 5, we state that the norm of global solution in $Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ goes to zero at infinity.

2. Notations and preliminary results

2.1. **Notations.** In this section, we collect some notations and definitions that will be used later.

- The Fourier transformation is normalized as

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = \widehat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \exp(-ix.\xi)f(x)dx, \ \ \xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

- The inverse Fourier formula is

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(g)(x) = (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \exp(i\xi.x)g(\xi)d\xi, \ \ x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

- The convolution product of a suitable pair of function f and g on $\mathbb{R}^3$ is given by

$$(f * g)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(y)g(x-y)dy.$$

- If $f = (f_1, f_2, f_3)$ and $g = (g_1, g_2, g_3)$ are two vector fields, we set

$$f \otimes g := (g_1 f, g_2 f, g_3 f),$$

and

$$\mathrm{div}\,(f \otimes g) := (\mathrm{div}\,(g_1 f), \mathrm{div}\,(g_2 f), \mathrm{div}\,(g_3 f)).$$

- Let $(B, ||.||)$, be a Banach space, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $T > 0$. We define $L^p_T(B)$ the space of all measurable functions $[0, t] \ni t \mapsto f(t) \in B$ such that $t \mapsto ||f(t)|| \in L^p([0, T])$.

2.2. **Preliminary results.** In this section, we recall some classical results and we give new technical lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f, g \in Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap Z^{1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then*

$$\|fg\|_{Z^0_{a,\sigma}} \leq \|f\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} \|g\|_{Z^1_{a,\sigma}} + \|f\|_{Z^1_{a,\sigma}} \|g\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}.$$

Proof lemma 2.1. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|fg\|_{Z^0_{a,\sigma}} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{a|\xi|^{1/\sigma}} |\widehat{fg}(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\xi} e^{a|\xi|^{1/\sigma}} \left(\int_{\eta} |\widehat{f}(\xi-\eta)||\widehat{g}(\eta)| d\eta \right) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality $e^{a|\xi|^{1/\sigma}}\leq e^{a|\xi-\eta|^{1/\sigma}}e^{a|\eta|^{1/\sigma}}$ and $1\leq\frac{|\xi-\eta|}{|\eta|}+\frac{|\eta|}{|\xi-\eta|}$.
We obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\|fg\|_{Z^0_{a,\sigma}} & = & \displaystyle\int_\xi(\int_\eta|\xi-\eta|e^{a|\xi-\eta|^{1/\sigma}}|\widehat{f}(\xi-\eta)|\frac{|e^{a|\eta|^{1/\sigma}}}{|\eta|}|\widehat{g}(\eta)|d\eta\\ & + & \displaystyle\int_\eta\frac{e^{a|\xi-\eta|^{1/\sigma}}}{|\xi-\eta|}|\widehat{f}(\xi-\eta)|\eta||e^{a|\eta|^{1/\sigma}}|\widehat{g}(\eta)|d\eta)d\xi.\end{array}$$

Put

$$F_1(\xi)=|\xi|e^{a|\xi|^{1/\sigma}}|\widehat{f}(\xi)|,\ F_2(\xi)=\frac{e^{a|\xi|^{1/\sigma}}}{|\xi|}|\widehat{f}(\xi)|,\ G_1(\xi)=|\xi|e^{a|\xi|^{1/\sigma}}|\widehat{g}(\xi)|\ \ and\ \ G_2(\xi)=\frac{e^{a|\xi|^{1/\sigma}}}{|\xi|}|\widehat{g}(\xi)|.$$

Then

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\|fg\|_{Z^0_{a,\sigma}} & \leq & \|F_1*G_2\|_{L^1}+\|F_2*G_1\|_{L^1}\\ & \leq & \|F_1\|_{L^1}\|G_2\|_{L^1}+\|F_2\|_{L^1}\|G_1\|_{L^1}\\ & \leq & \|f\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}\|g\|_{Z^1_{a,\sigma}}+|f\|_{Z^1_{a,\sigma}}\|g\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}.\end{array}$$

□

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $u\in L^\infty_T(Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap L^1_T(Z^1_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. *Then*

$$\|\int_0^t e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}div(u\otimes u)d\tau\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}\leq 2\|u\|_{L^\infty_T(Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma})}\|u\|_{L^1_T(Z^1_{a,\sigma})}.$$

Proof lemma 2.2.

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\|\displaystyle\int_0^t e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}div(u\otimes u)d\tau\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} & \leq & \displaystyle\int_0^t\|e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}div(u\otimes u)\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}d\tau\\ & \leq & \displaystyle\int_0^t\|e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}(u\otimes u)\|_{Z^0_{a,\sigma}}d\tau\\ & \leq & \displaystyle\int_0^t\|(u\otimes u)\|_{Z^0_{a,\sigma}}d\tau.\end{array}$$

Using the lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\|\displaystyle\int_0^t e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}div(u\otimes u)d\tau\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} & \leq & 2\displaystyle\int_0^t\|u\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}\|u\|_{Z^1_{a,\sigma}}\\ & \leq & 2\|u\|_{L^\infty_T(Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma})}\|u\|_{L^1_T(Z^1_{a,\sigma})}.\end{array}$$

□

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $u\in L^\infty_T(Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap L^1_T(Z^1_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. *Then*

$$\int_0^T\|\int_0^t e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}div(u\otimes u)d\tau\|_{Z^1_{a,\sigma}}dt\leq 2\|u\|_{L^\infty_T(Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma})}\|u\|_{L^1_T(Z^1_{a,\sigma})}.$$

Proof lemma 2.3.

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\displaystyle\int_0^T\|\int_0^t e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}div(u\otimes u)d\tau\|_{Z^1_{a,\sigma}}dt & \leq & \displaystyle\int_0^T\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}e^{-\nu(t-\tau)|\xi|^2}|\xi|^2e^{a|\xi|^{1/\sigma}}|\widehat{u\otimes u}(\tau,\xi)|d\tau dtd\xi\\ & \leq & \displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\xi|^2e^{a|\xi|^{1/\sigma}}\left(\int_0^T\int_0^t e^{-\nu(t-\tau)|\xi|^2}|\widehat{u\otimes u}(\tau,\xi)|d\tau dt\right)d\xi.\end{array}$$

Integrating the function $e^{-\nu(t-\tau)|\xi|^2}$ twice with respect to $\tau\in[0,t]$ and $t\in[0,T]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T\int_0^t e^{-\nu(t-\tau)|\xi|^2}|\widehat{u\otimes u}(\tau,\xi)|d\tau dt &= \int_0^T|\widehat{u\otimes u}(\tau,\xi)|\left(\left[\frac{-e^{-\nu(t-\tau)|\xi|^2}}{\nu|\xi|^2}\right]_\tau^T\right)d\tau\\ &\leq \int_0^T|\widehat{u\otimes u}(\tau,\xi)|(\frac{1-e^{-\nu(T-\tau)|\xi|^2}}{\nu|\xi|^2})d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T\|\int_0^t e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}div(u\otimes u)d\tau\|_{Z^1_{a,\sigma}}dt &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\xi|^2e^{a|\xi|^{1/\sigma}}\left(\int_0^T(\frac{1-e^{-\nu(T-\tau)|\xi|^2}}{\nu|\xi|^2})|\widehat{u\otimes u}(\tau,\xi)|d\tau\right)d\xi\\ &\leq \int_0^T\|u\otimes u\|_{Z^0_{a,\sigma}}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the lemma 2.1, we will get the result. □

The proof of the first main result requires the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4.

$$\|u\otimes u\|_{Z^0_{a,\sigma}}\leq\|u\|_{Z^{-1}_{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\sigma}},\sigma}}\|u\|^{\frac12}_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}\|\Delta u\|^{\frac12}_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}.$$

Proof lemma 2.4.

It is easy to see that

$$x^2e^{(\frac{a}{\sigma}-\frac{a}{\sqrt{\sigma}})x^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}\leq c_{a,\sigma},\ \ \forall x\geq 0.$$

Then, for $x=|\xi|$

$$|\xi|^2e^{(\frac{a}{\sigma}-\frac{a}{\sqrt{\sigma}})|\xi|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}\leq c_{a,\sigma}.$$

This implies

$$|\xi|e^{\frac{a}{\sigma}|\xi|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}\leq c_{a,\sigma}\frac{1}{|\xi|}e^{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\sigma}}|\xi|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Delta u\|_{Z^{-1}_{\frac{a}{\sigma},\sigma}} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\xi|e^{\frac{a}{\sigma}|\xi|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}|\widehat{u}(\xi)|d\xi\\ &\leq c\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{|\xi|}e^{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\sigma}}|\xi|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}|\widehat{u}(\xi)|d\xi\\ &\leq c\|u\|_{Z^{-1}_{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\sigma}},\sigma}}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the previous computations and Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|u\otimes u\|_{Z^0_{a,\sigma}} &= \int_\xi e^{a|\xi|^{1/\sigma}}(\int_\eta|\widehat{u}(\xi-\eta)||\widehat{u}(\eta)|d\eta)d\xi\\ &\leq c\|u\|_{Z^0_{\frac{a}{\sigma},\sigma}}\|u\|_{Z^0_{a,\sigma}}\\ &\leq c\|\Delta u\|_{Z^{-1}_{\frac{a}{\sigma},\sigma}}\|u\|_{Z^0_{a,\sigma}}\\ &\leq c\|u\|_{Z^{-1}_{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\sigma}},\sigma}}\|u\|_{Z^0_{a,\sigma}}\\ &\leq c\|u\|_{Z^{-1}_{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\sigma}},\sigma}}\|u\|^{\frac12}_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}\|\Delta u\|^{\frac12}_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}.\end{aligned}$$

3. WELL-POSEDNESS OF (NSE) IN $Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$

In the following theorem, we study the existence and uniqueness of the solution.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $u^0 \in Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}$. Then, there are a time $T > 0$ and a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{C}([0,T], Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ of (NSE) such that $u \in L^1([0,T], Z^{1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3))$.*

Proof theorem 3.1.
(i) Firstly, we wish to prove the existence.
The idea of the proof is to write the initial condition as a sum of higher and lower frequencies. For small frequencies, we will give a regular solution of the associated linear system to (NSE). For the higher frequencies, we consider a partial differential equation very small to (NSE) with small initial data in $Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for which we can solve it by the Fixed Point Theorem.

- Let $r \in (0, \frac{1}{10})$.
- Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\int_{|\xi|>N} \frac{e^{a|\xi|^{1/\sigma}}}{|\xi|} |\widehat{u^0}(\xi)| d\xi < \frac{r}{5}.$$

Let's

$$v^0 = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_{\{|\xi|<\mathbf{N}\}} \widehat{u^0}(\xi))$$

and

$$w^0 = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\mathbf{1}_{\{|\xi|>\mathbf{N}\}} \widehat{u^0}(\xi)).$$

Clearly

$$\|w^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} < \frac{r}{5}. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $v = e^{\nu t\Delta} v^0$ the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v - \nu\Delta v &= 0 \\ v(0,x) &= v^0(x), \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\|v\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} \leq \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}, \ \forall t \geq 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|_{L^1_T(Z^1_{a,\sigma})} &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi| e^{a|\xi|^{1/\sigma}} |\widehat{v}(\xi)| d\xi dt \\ &\leq \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-\nu t|\xi|^2} |\xi| e^{a|\xi|^{1/\sigma}} |\widehat{u^0}(\xi)| d\xi dt \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\int_0^T e^{-\nu t|\xi|^2} dt) |\xi| e^{a|\xi|^{1/\sigma}} |\widehat{u^0}(\xi)| d\xi \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\nu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (1 - e^{-\nu T|\xi|^2}) |\xi|^{-1} e^{a|\xi|^{1/\sigma}} |\widehat{u^0}(\xi)| d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Dominated Convergence Theorem, we get

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} \|v\|_{L^1_T(Z^1_{a,\sigma})} = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$2\varepsilon \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} < \frac{r}{5},$$

$$\|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}+\varepsilon<\frac{1}{5},$$

and

$$4(\varepsilon+2r\|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}})\leq\frac{1}{2}.$$

By (3.2), there is a time $T=T(\varepsilon)>0$ such that

$$\|v\|_{L^1_T(Z^1_{a,\sigma})}<\varepsilon.$$

Put $w=u-v$, clearly w is the solution of the following system

$$\begin{cases}\partial_t w-\nu\Delta w+(v+w).\nabla(v+w) & = & -\nabla p\\ w(0,x) & = & w^0(x)\end{cases},$$

The integral form of w is as follows

$$w=e^{\nu t\Delta}w^0-\int_0^t e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}(v+w).\nabla(v+w)d\tau.$$

To prove the existence of w, we put the following operator

$$\psi(w)=e^{\nu t\Delta}w^0-\int_0^t e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}(v+w).\nabla(v+w)d\tau.$$

Now, we introduce the spaces Z_T as follows

$$Z_T=\mathcal{C}([0,T],Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3))\cap L^1([0,T],Z^1_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3))$$

with the norm

$$\|f\|_{Z_T}=\|f\|_{L^\infty_T(Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma})}+\|f\|_{L^1_T(Z^1_{a,\sigma})}.$$

Using lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we can prove $\psi(Z_T)\subset Z_T$.

- Also, denoted by $\mathbf{B}_r$ the subset of Z_T defined by:

$$\mathbf{B}_r=\{u\in Z_T;\|u\|_{L^\infty_T(Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma})}\leq r;\|u\|_{L^1_T(Z^1_{a,\sigma})}\leq r\}.$$

- For $w\in\mathbf{B}_r$, we prove that $\psi(w)\subset\mathbf{B}_r$. In fact, we have

$$\|\psi(w)(t)\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}\leq\sum_{k=0}^4 I_k,$$

where

$$I_0=\|e^{\nu t\Delta}w^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}$$

$$I_1=\int_0^t\|e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}v\nabla v\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}d\tau$$

$$I_2=\int_0^t\|e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}v\nabla w\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}d\tau$$

$$I_3=\int_0^t\|e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}w\nabla v\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}d\tau$$

$$I_4=\int_0^t\|e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}w\nabla w\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}d\tau.$$

Using (3.1) the lemma 2.2 and the fact that $w\in\mathbf{B}_r$, hence we get

$$I_0\leq\frac{r}{5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq \|v\|_{L^\infty_T(Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma})}\|v\|_{L^1_T(Z^1_{a,\sigma})} \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon\|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} \\ &< \frac{r}{5} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_2, I_3 &\leq \|v\|_{L^1_T(Z^1_{a,\sigma})}\|w\|_{L^\infty_T(Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma})} \\ &+ \|v\|_{L^\infty_T(Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma})}\|w\|_{L^1_T(Z^1_{a,\sigma})} \\ &\leq r(\|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + \varepsilon) \\ &< \frac{r}{5} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_4 &\leq \|w\|_{L^\infty_T(Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma})}\|w\|_{L^1_T(Z^1_{a,\sigma})} \\ &\leq 2r^2 \\ &< \frac{r}{5}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\|\psi(w)(t)\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} \leq r. \tag{3.3}$$

Similarly,

$$\|\psi(w)(t)\|_{L^1(Z^1_{a,\sigma})} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{4} J_k,$$

where

$$J_0 = \int_0^T \|e^{\nu t\Delta}w^0\|_{Z^1_{a,\sigma}}dt$$

$$J_1 = \int_0^T \|\int_0^t e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}v\nabla v d\tau\|_{Z^1_{a,\sigma}}dt$$

$$J_2 = \int_0^T \|\int_0^t e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}v\nabla w d\tau\|_{Z^1_{a,\sigma}}dt$$

$$J_3 = \int_0^T \|\int_0^t e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}w\nabla v d\tau\|_{Z^1_{a,\sigma}}dt$$

$$J_4 = \int_0^T \|\int_0^t e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}w\nabla w d\tau\|_{Z^1_{a,\sigma}}dt.$$

Using lemmas 2.3 and the fact that $w \in \mathbf{B}_r$, we get

$$J_0 \leq \frac{r}{5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &\leq 2\|v\|_{L^\infty_T(Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma})}\|v\|_{L^1_T(Z^1_{a,\sigma})} \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon\|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} \\ &< \frac{r}{5} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} J_2, J_3 & \leq & \|v\|_{L^1_T(Z^1_{a,\sigma})}\|w\|_{L^\infty_T(Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma})} \\ & + & \|v\|_{L^\infty_T(Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma})}\|w\|_{L^1_T(Z^1_{a,\sigma})} \\ & \leq & r(\|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + \varepsilon) \\ & < & \dfrac{r}{5} \\ J_4 & \leq & 2\|w\|_{L^\infty_T(Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma})}\|w\|_{L^1_T(Z^1_{a,\sigma})} \\ & \leq & 2r^2 \\ & < & \dfrac{r}{5}. \end{array}$$

Then

$$\|\psi(w)(t)\|_{L^1(Z^1_{a,\sigma})} \leq r. \tag{3.4}$$

Combining (3.3) and (3.4), we get $\psi(w) \subset \mathbf{B}_r$ and we can deduce

$$\psi(\mathbf{B}_r) \subset \mathbf{B}_r. \tag{3.5}$$

- Proof of the following estimate

$$\|\psi(w_2) - \psi(w_1)\|_{Z_T} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|w_2 - w_1\|\|_{Z_T}, \;\; w_1, w_2 \in \mathbf{B}_r.$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \psi(w_2) - \psi(w_1) & = & -\displaystyle\int_0^t e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}((v+w_2)\nabla(v+w_2) - (v+w_1)\nabla(v+w_1))d\tau \\ & = & -\displaystyle\int_0^t e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}((v+w_2)\nabla(w_2-w_1) + (w_2-w_1)\nabla(v+w_1))d\tau \end{array}$$

and

$$\|\psi(w_2) - \psi(w_1)\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} \leq K_1 + K_2,$$

with

$$K_1 = \|\int_0^t e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}(v+w_2)\nabla(w_2-w_1)d\tau\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}},$$
$$K_2 = \|\int_0^t e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}(w_2-w_1)\nabla(v+w_1)d\tau\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}.$$

Using lemma 2.2, we can deduce

$$\begin{array}{rcl} K_1 & \leq & \|v+w_2\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}\|w_2-w_1\|\|_{Z^1_{a,\sigma}} + \|v+w_2\|_{Z^1_{a,\sigma}}\|w_2-w_1\|\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} \\ & \leq & (\|v\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + \|w_2\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}})\|w_2-w_1\|\|_{Z^1_{a,\sigma}} \\ & + & (\|v\|_{Z^1_{a,\sigma}} + \|w_2\|_{Z^1_{a,\sigma}})\|w_2-w_1\|\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} \\ & \leq & (\varepsilon + 2r + \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}})\|w_2-w_1\|\|_{Z_T}. \end{array}$$

Similarly, we get

$$K_2 \leq (\varepsilon + 2r + \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}})\|w_2-w_1\|\|_{Z_T}.$$

Then

$$\|\psi(w_2) - \psi(w_1)\|_{L^\infty_T(Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma})} \leq 2(\varepsilon + 2r + \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}})\|w_2-w_1\|\|_{Z_T}. \tag{3.6}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\|\psi(w_2) - \psi(w_1)\|_{L^1(Z^1_{a,\sigma})} \leq K_3 + K_4,$$

with

$$K_3 = \int_0^T \| \int_0^t e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}(v+w_2)\nabla(w_2-w_1)d\tau\|_{Z^1_{a,\sigma}}dt,$$

$$K_4 = \int_0^T \| \int_0^t e^{\nu(t-\tau)\Delta}(w_2-w_1)\nabla(v+w_1)d\tau\|_{Z^1_{a,\sigma}}dt.$$

Using lemma 2.3, then we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned} K_3 &\leq \|v+w_2\|_{L^1_T(Z^1_{a,\sigma})}\|w_2-w_1\||_{L^\infty_T(Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma})} \\ &+ \|v+w_2\|_{L^\infty(Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma})}\|w_2-w_1\||_{L^1_T(Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma})} \\ &\leq (\varepsilon+2r+\|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}})\|w_2-w_1\||_{Z_T}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$K_4 \leq (\varepsilon+2r+\|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}})\|w_2-w_1\||_{Z_T}.$$

Then

$$\|\psi(w_2)-\psi(w_1)\|_{L^1_T(Z^1_{a,\sigma})} \leq 2(\varepsilon+2r+\|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}})\|w_2-w_1\||_{Z_T}. \tag{3.7}$$

By (3.6) and (3.7), we obtain

$$\|\psi(w_2)-\psi(w_1)\|_{Z_T} \leq 4(\varepsilon+2r+\|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}})\|w_2-w_1\||_{Z_T}.$$

This implies

$$\|\psi(w_2)-\psi(w_1)\|_{Z_T} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|w_2-w_1\||_{Z_T}. \tag{3.8}$$

So, combining (3.5) and (3.8) and the Fixed Point Theorem, there is a unique $w \in \mathbf{B}_r$ such that $u = v+w$ is the solution of (NSE) with $u \in Z_T(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

(ii) Secondly, we want to prove the uniqueness.
Let $u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{C}([0,T], Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^1([0,T], Z^1_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ of (NSE) such that $u_1(0) = u_2(0)$. Put $\delta = U_1 - U_2$. We have

$$\partial_t\delta - \nu\Delta\delta + u_1.\nabla\delta + \delta.\nabla u_2 = -\nabla(p_1-p_2). \tag{3.9}$$

Then

$$\partial_t\widehat{\delta} + \nu|\xi|^2\widehat{\delta} + (\widehat{u_1.\nabla\delta}) + (\widehat{\delta.\nabla u_2}) = 0.$$

Multiplying the previous equation by $\overline{\widehat{\delta}}$, we get

$$\partial_t\widehat{\delta}.\overline{\widehat{\delta}} + \nu|\xi|^2\widehat{\delta}.\overline{\widehat{\delta}} + (\widehat{u_1.\nabla\delta}).\overline{\widehat{\delta}} + (\widehat{\delta.\nabla u_2}).\overline{\widehat{\delta}} = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

From Eq (3.9) we have

$$\partial_t\overline{\widehat{\delta}} + \nu|\xi|^2\overline{\widehat{\delta}} + \overline{(\widehat{u_1.\nabla\delta})} + \overline{(\widehat{\delta.\nabla u_2})} = 0.$$

Multiplying this equation by $\widehat{\delta}$, we get

$$\partial_t\overline{\widehat{\delta}}.\widehat{\delta} + \nu|\xi|^2\overline{\widehat{\delta}}.\widehat{\delta} + \overline{(\widehat{u_1.\nabla\delta})}.\widehat{\delta} + \overline{(\widehat{\delta.\nabla u_2})}.\widehat{\delta} = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

By summing (3.10) and (3.11), we get

$$\partial_t|\widehat{\delta}|^2 + 2\nu|\xi|^2|\widehat{\delta}|^2 + 2Re((\widehat{u_1.\nabla\delta}).\overline{\widehat{\delta}}) + 2Re((\widehat{\delta.\nabla u_2}).\overline{\widehat{\delta}}) = 0,$$

and

$$\partial_t|\widehat{\delta}|^2 + 2\nu|\xi|^2|\widehat{\delta}|^2 \leq 2|(\widehat{u_1.\nabla\delta})||\overline{\widehat{\delta}}| + 2|(\widehat{\delta.\nabla u_2})||\overline{\widehat{\delta}}|.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, thereby we have

$$\partial_t|\widehat{\delta}|^2 = \partial_t(|\widehat{\delta}|^2+\varepsilon^2) = 2\sqrt{|\widehat{\delta}|^2+\varepsilon^2}.\partial_t\sqrt{|\widehat{\delta}|^2+\varepsilon^2}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}2\partial_t\sqrt{|\widehat{\delta}|^2+\varepsilon^2}+2\nu|\xi|^2\frac{|\widehat{\delta}|^2}{\sqrt{|\widehat{\delta}|^2+\varepsilon^2}} &\leq 2|\widehat{(u_1.\nabla\delta)}|\frac{|\widehat{\delta}|}{\sqrt{|\widehat{\delta}|^2+\varepsilon^2}}+2|\widehat{(\delta.\nabla u_2)}|\frac{|\widehat{\delta}|}{\sqrt{|\widehat{\delta}|^2+\varepsilon^2}}\\ &\leq 2|\widehat{(u_1.\nabla\delta)}|+2|\widehat{(\delta.\nabla u_2)}|.\end{aligned}$$

By integrating with respect to time

$$\sqrt{|\widehat{\delta}|^2+\varepsilon^2}+\nu\int_0^t|\xi|^2\frac{|\widehat{\delta}|^2}{\sqrt{|\widehat{\delta}|^2+\varepsilon^2}}\leq\int_0^t|\widehat{(u_1.\nabla\delta)}|d\tau+\int_0^t|\widehat{(\delta.\nabla u_2)}|d\tau.$$

Letting $\varepsilon\to 0$, we get

$$|\widehat{\delta}|+\nu\int_0^t|\xi|^2|\widehat{\delta}|d\tau\leq\int_0^t|\widehat{(u_1.\nabla\delta)}|d\tau+\int_0^t|\widehat{(\delta.\nabla u_2)}|d\tau.$$

Multiplying by $\frac{e^{a|\xi|^{\frac{1}{\sigma}}}}{|\xi|}$ and integrating with respect to ξ , thereafter we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\delta\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}+\nu\int_0^t\|\Delta\delta\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}d\tau &\leq \int_0^t\|u_1.\nabla\delta\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}d\tau+\int_0^t\|\delta.\nabla u_2\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}d\tau\\ &\leq \int_0^t\|\delta u_1\|_{Z^0_{a,\sigma}}d\tau+\int_0^t\|u_2\delta\|_{Z^0_{a,\sigma}}d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

Using the elementary inequality $xy\leq\frac{x^2}{2}+\frac{y^2}{2}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\delta u_1\|_{Z^0_{a,\sigma}} &\leq \|\delta\|_{Z^0_{a,\sigma}}\|u_1\|_{Z^0_{a,\sigma}}\\ &\leq \|\delta\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}\|\Delta\delta\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}\|u_1\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}\|\Delta u_1\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}\\ &\leq \frac{2}{\nu}\|\delta\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}\|u_1\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}\|\Delta u_1\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}+\frac{\nu}{2}\|\Delta\delta\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\|u_2\delta\|_{Z^0_{a,\sigma}}\leq\frac{2}{\nu}\|\delta\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}\|u_2\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}\|\Delta u_2\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}+\frac{\nu}{2}\|\Delta\delta\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|\delta\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} &\leq \frac{2}{\nu}\int_0^t\|\delta\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}\|u_1\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}\|\Delta u_1\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}d\tau\\ &+ \frac{2}{\nu}\int_0^t\|\delta\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}\|u_2\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}\|\Delta u_2\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

Using Gronwall lemma and the fact $(t\mapsto\|u_1\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}\|\Delta u_1\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}})\in L^1([0,T])$, $(t\mapsto\|u_2\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}\|\Delta u_2\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}})\in L^1([0,T])$, we can deduce that $\delta=0$ in $[0,T]$ which gives the uniqueness. □

In the following, we prove a global existence if the initial condition is small in the Lei-Lin-Gevrey spaces.

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $u^0 \in Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *such that* $\|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} < \nu$. *Then, there exists a unique global solution* $u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+, Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^+, Z^1_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ *of* (NSE) *such that*

$$\|u(t)\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + \Big(\frac{\nu - \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}}{2}\Big) \int_0^t \|\Delta u\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} d\tau \leq \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}.$$

Proof theorem 3.2.
From theorem 1.4, if $u^0 \in Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we have a local existence

$$u \in L^\infty_T(Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^1_T(Z^1_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

Assume that $\|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} < \nu$ and $u \in \mathcal{C}([0,T^*), Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^1_{loc}([0,T^*), Z^1_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ is the maximal solution of (NSE). We have

$$\partial_t \|u(t)\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + \nu \|\Delta u\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} \leq \|\mathrm{div}(u \otimes u)\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}.$$

Integrating over $(0,t)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + \nu \int_0^t \|\Delta u\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} d\tau &\leq \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + \int_0^t \|u \otimes u\|_{Z^0_{a,\sigma}} d\tau \\ &\leq \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + \int_0^t \|u\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} \|\Delta u\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Therefore, for $T_* = \sup\{t \in [0,T^*) \ / \ \|u(t)\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} < \alpha\}$, where $\alpha = \frac{\nu + \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}}{2}$.
Take $t \in [0, T_*)$. Then we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + \nu \int_0^t \|\Delta u\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} d\tau \leq \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + \alpha \int_0^t \|\Delta u\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} d\tau.$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + (\nu - \alpha) \int_0^t \|\Delta u\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} d\tau &\leq \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} \\ &< \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Then $T_* = T^*$. Particularly if $T < T^*$, we have

$$\|u(T)\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + (\nu - \alpha) \int_0^T \|\Delta u\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} d\tau \leq \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}.$$

Therefore, $T^* = \infty$ □

4. GLOBAL SOLUTION

In this section, we prove the first main theorem 1.4.
Let $u \in \mathcal{C}([0,T^*_{a,\sigma}), Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \cap L^1_{loc}([0,T^*_{a,\sigma}), Z^1_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ be the maximal solution of (NSE), such that $\|u^0\|_{\mathcal{X}^{-1}} < \nu$.
Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + \nu \int_0^t \|\Delta u\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} d\tau &\leq \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + \int_0^t \|div(u \otimes u)\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} d\tau \\ &\leq \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + \int_0^t \|u \otimes u\|_{Z^0_{a,\sigma}} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Using the lemma 2.4 and the inequality $xy \leq \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{y^2}{2}$, thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + \nu \int_0^t \|\Delta u\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} d\tau &\leq \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + c\int_0^t \|u\|_{Z^{-1}_{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\sigma}},\sigma}} \|u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} \|\Delta u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} d\tau \\ &\leq \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + c\int_0^t (\|u\|^2_{Z^{-1}_{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\sigma}},\sigma}} \|u\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + \frac{\nu}{2}\|\Delta u\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}}) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\|u(t)\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + \frac{\nu}{2} \int_0^t \|\Delta u\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} d\tau \leq \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + c\int_0^t \|u\|^2_{Z^{-1}_{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\sigma}},\sigma}} \|u\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} d\tau.$$

By the Gronwall lemma, we get

$$\|u(t)\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} \leq \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} \exp(c\int_0^t \|u\|^2_{Z^{-1}_{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\sigma}},\sigma}} d\tau).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} + \nu \int_0^t \|\Delta u\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} d\tau &\leq \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} \\ &+ c\int_0^t \|u\|^2_{Z^{-1}_{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\sigma}},\sigma}} \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} \exp(c\int_0^s \|u\|^2_{Z^{-1}_{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\sigma}},\sigma}}) \\ &\leq \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} (1 + c\int_0^t \|u\|^2_{Z^{-1}_{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\sigma}},\sigma}} \exp(c\int_0^s \|u\|^2_{Z^{-1}_{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\sigma}},\sigma}})) \\ &\leq \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} \exp(c\int_0^t \|u\|^2_{Z^{-1}_{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\sigma}},\sigma}}) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Assumed that $T^*_{a,\sigma} < \infty$, by the previous inequality $\int_0^{T^*_{a,\sigma}} \|\Delta u\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} d\tau = \infty$. This implies that

$$\int_0^{T^*_{a,\sigma}} \|u\|^2_{Z^{-1}_{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\sigma}},\sigma}} d\tau = \infty.$$

As $Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow Z^{-1}_{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\sigma}},\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then $T^*_{a,\sigma} = T^*_{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\sigma}},\sigma}$. Thus

$$T^*_{a,\sigma} = T^*_{\frac{a}{\sqrt{\sigma}},\sigma} = ... = T^*_{\frac{a}{\sigma^{\frac{n}{2}}},\sigma}, \ \ \forall n \in \mathbf{N}. \tag{4.1}$$

Therefore, from the dominated convergence theorem

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{\frac{a}{\sigma^{\frac{n}{2}}},\sigma}} = \|u^0\|_{\mathcal{X}^{-1}} < \nu.$$

Then, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$\|u^0\|_{Z^{-1}_{\frac{a}{\sigma^{\frac{n}{2}}},\sigma}} < \nu, \ \ \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Applying theorem 1.5, so we have $\forall n \geq n_0$

$$u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+, Z^{-1}_{\frac{a}{\sigma^{\frac{n_0}{2}}},\sigma}). \tag{4.2}$$

Using the inequalities (4.1)-(4.2) and for $n = n_0$, we obtain $T^*_{a,\sigma} = T^*_{\frac{a}{\sigma^{\frac{n}{2}}},\sigma} = \infty$. This is absurd, so $T^*_{a,\sigma} = \infty$. □

5. LONG TIME DECAY FOR THE GLOBAL SOLUTION

In this section, we prove the second main theorem 1.5.
Let $u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+, Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. As $Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then $u \in \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3))$.
For the results of Hantaek Bae (see [15]). There exist $t_0 > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\|e^{\alpha|D|}u(t)\|_{\mathcal{X}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq c_0,\ \forall t \geq t_0, \tag{5.1}$$

where $t_0 = \varphi(t) = \sqrt{t - t_0}$.
Therefore, let $a > 0$ and $\beta > 0$. Then, there exists $c_1 > 0$ such that

$$a x^{\frac{1}{\sigma}} \leq c_1 + \beta x,\ x \geq 0. \tag{5.2}$$

Take $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{2}$ and using the inequalities (5.1)-(5.2) and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, so we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u(t)\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{a|\xi|^{1/\sigma}}}{|\xi|}|\widehat{u}(\xi)|d\xi \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{c_1+\beta|\xi|}}{|\xi|}|\widehat{u}(\xi)|d\xi \\
&\leq e^{c_1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{e^{\beta|\xi|}}{|\xi|}|\widehat{u}(\xi)|d\xi \\
&\leq e^{c_1}\|e^{\alpha|D|}u(t)\|_{\mathcal{X}^{-1}}\|u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\mathcal{X}^{-1}} \\
&\leq c_0 e^{c_1}\|u\|^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\mathcal{X}^{-1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using theorem 1.3. So, $\lim_{t\to\infty}\|u(t)\|_{Z^{-1}_{a,\sigma}} = 0$. ☐

REFERENCES

[1] M. Cannone, *Harmonic analysis tools for solving the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations*, Diterot Editeur, Paris, 1995.

[2] M. Cannone, *Ondelettes,paraproduit et Navier-Stokes. Harmonic analysis tools for solving the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations*, in: SFriedlander.D.Serra(Eds.), Handbook of Mathematical Fluid Dynamics, vol.3, Elsevier,2003.

[3] J-Y.Chemin, *Remarque sur l'existence global pour le systeme de Navier-Stokes incompressible*, SIAM J.Math.Anal.26 (2) (2009) 599-624.

[4] J-Y.Chemin.I.Gallagher. *Well-posedness and stability results for the Navier-Stokes in*$\mathbb{R}^3$, Ann, Inst.H.Poincare Anal Non Linear 26 (2)(2009) 599-624.

[5] H.Fujita,T.Kato, *On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem* , I.Arch.Ration.Mech.Anal.16 (1964) 269-315.

[6] J. Benameur, *Long Time Decay to the Lei-Lin solution of 3D Navier Stokes equation.* J.Math.Anal.Appl.(2015).

[7] E.Hopf. *Uber die Anfangswertaufgabe fur die hydrodinamischen Grundgleichungen*, Math.Nachr.4 (1951) 213-231.

[8] T.Kato, *Quasi-Linear Equations of Evolution, With Application to Partial Differential Equations* , Lecture Notes in Math, vol.448,Sringer-Verlag,1975,pp. 25-70.

[9] T.Kato. *L^p -solution of the Navier Stokes in $\mathbb{R}^m$. With applications to weak solutions*, Math.Z. 187 (4)(1984) 471-480.

[10] H.Koch.D.Tataru. *Well-posedness for the Navier Stokes equations* , Adv.Math.157(1)(2001) 22-35.

[11] Z.Lei.F.Lin, *Global mild solutions of Navier Stokes equations* , Comm.Pure Appl.Math.LXIV (2011) 1297 1304.

[12] J.Leray. *Essai sur lr movement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace*, Acta Math.63 (1933) 22-25.

[13] J.leray. *Sur le movement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace*, Acta Math.63 (1) (1934) 193-248.

[14] F.Planchon. *Global strong solutions in Sobolev or Lebesgue spaces to the incompressible Navier Stokes equations in* $\mathbb{R}^3$,Ann.Inst.H.Poincare Anal.Non Lineaire 13 (3)(1996) 319 336.
[15] Hanteak. Bae. *Existence and Analyticity of Lie-Lin Solution to the Navier Stokes equations.*
[16] Z.Zhang,Z.Yin. *Global well-posedness for the generalized Navier Stokes system.* arXiv:1306.3735v1 [Math.Ap] 17 june 2013.

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
E-mail address: *jbenameur@ksu.edu.sa*

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia
E-mail address: *ljlali@ksu.edu.sa*